UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RAYMOND ZONG,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION

٧.

No. 2:13-ev-03256-JLS

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER &

SMITH INCORPORATED,

Defendant.

MERRILL LYNCH'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO ENFORCE SETTLEMENT

Ĭ. INTRODUCTION

Defendant Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("Merrill Lynch") hereby submits this Reply Brief in further support its Motion to Enforce Settlement ("Motion") and to address several inaccuracies stated in Plaintiff Raymond Zong's Response to Defendant Merrill Lynch's Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement ("Response"). In his Response, Zong raises two issues to oppose Merrill Lynch's Motion: (i) that his former attorney, Andrew Cotlar, misinformed him about the status of a pending EEOC Charge of Discrimination; and (ii) that he claims he had a right to revoke the entire settlement due to a revocation clause contained in the proposed written agreement. Both arguments are misinformed and meritless.

ARGUMENT II.

Zong Was Fully Apprised of the Status of His Pending EEOC Charge. A.

Zong's claims concerning the pending EEOC Charge of Discrimination ("Charge") is a distinction without a difference. By way of background, Zong had filed two separate EEOC

In the Response, Zong claims that Merrill Lynch's Motion made a false statement by describing the pending retaliation claim as a "Charge of Discrimination." Zong is mistaken as all claims, including retaliation claims, are

Charges against Merrill Lynch and both asserted retaliation. See Exhibit "A." When Zong filed the instant action, he did not assert a retaliation claim and no retaliation claim has been asserted against Merrill Lynch in court.²

Nonetheless, at the settlement conference, Zong readily acknowledged and understood that he was releasing any and all claims (whether pending or closed) that he could have asserted up to the date of the settlement conference. See Transcript of July 23, 2014 hearing at 4.3 Indeed, during the "off the record" discussion concerning the settlement terms, counsel for Merrill Lynch explained that, as a condition of the settlement, Zong would be releasing his pending retaliation claim. Counsel for Merrill Lynch further explained that, although Zong was releasing his right to contribution from any pending retaliation claim, given that the claim was pending, the EEOC ultimately retained the right to further investigate or close the claim. Thus, Zong was fully apprised of and knew exactly the rights he was giving up prior to accepting the terms of the settlement. Accordingly, as Zong fully understood the rights he was waiving at the time he knowingly accepted the terms of the settlement, Merrill Lynch respectfully requests that the Court enforce the settlement.

B. Zong Cannot Revoke the Settlement.

Zong's second argument is likewise meritless because Zong is now seeking to enforce the terms of the written agreement he refused to execute. Specifically, in the *Response*, Zong is claiming that, because he had the right to revoke the written agreement, the June 23, 2014

filed with the EEOC on a "Charge of Discrimination." See Charges of Discrimination attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

² Indeed, Zong has foreclosed his right to pursue any retaliation claim asserted in the first Charge due to his failure to pursue those claims within 90 days of his Right to Sue.

³ The transcript was filed under seal and, therefore, will not be attached to this *Motion*.

See Zong's Response at Ex. "A."

agreement reached at the settlement conference was "revocable." *Response* at 1. Zong's argument is problematic for several reasons.

First, during the June 23, 2014 settlement conference, Zong did not request, and the record does not reflect that Zong wished to retain, the right to a seven day revocation period. That provision is contained only in the written agreement that Zong refused to execute. Thus, because that provision was not agreed upon and Zong did not execute the written settlement agreement, he cannot now attempt to exercise that revocation period to oppose Merrill Lynch's *Motion*.

Second, the revocation provision applies only to Zong's release of any claims under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA"). Specifically, the Older Workers Benefit Protection Act ("OWBPA") requires that, to validly release an ADEA claim, an employer provide an employee with twenty-one days to review the agreement and seven days following the execution of the agreement to revoke the agreement. See 29 U.S.C. § 626(f)(1). However, the revocation provision applies only to age discrimination claims and only when an employee has actually executed the agreement – neither of which apply in this case.

In <u>Dinwiddie v. Waste Management</u>, an employee had sued his employer for race discrimination, retaliation, and various state law claims. No. 1:11-cv-01874, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171315, *1 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 3, 2012).⁵ During a mediation, the employee reached a settlement agreement whereby, in exchange for a payment of \$15,000, the employee agreed to release all claims against his employer. <u>Id.</u> at *5. Six days later, the employee attempted to revoke the settlement pursuant to the OWBPA. <u>Id.</u> at *6. The employer moved to enforce the

Merrill Lynch was unable to locate any direct authority within the Third Circuit and, therefore, provides this Eastern District of California case as persuasive authority for the Court to consider.

settlement and argued that the employee could not revoke the agreement pursuant to the OWBPA. Id. at *9.

The Eastern District of California held that the parties' initial settlement was binding and enforceable and that the employee could not revoke the settlement pursuant to the OWBPA. <u>Id.</u> at *12. The court reasoned that, on its face, the revocation requirement of the OWBPA applied only to ADEA claims and did not provide any rights or relief to any other claims. <u>Id.</u> at *10. Moreover, the court noted that the employee had not asserted any age claims and any age claims he could have asserted were otherwise time-barred. Id. at *11.

Just as in <u>Dinwiddie</u>, Zong has not asserted any age discrimination claims either in the instant proceeding or before any federal or state agency. Moreover, more than 300 days have passed since Zong resigned from Merrill Lynch. <u>See</u> 29 U.S.C. § 626(d)(2). Therefore, even to the extent Zong wanted to pursue an age discrimination claim, such claim would be time-barred. Thus, Zong's exercise of a right to revocation in a written agreement – that he did not sign – would only revive an age discrimination claim - - a claim he never asserted and cannot now assert. Accordingly, Zong cannot revoke the binding June 23, 2014 settlement.

HI. CONCLUSION

On June 23, 2014, Zong voluntarily entered into a settlement and stated on the record that he understood it. His attempt to now negotiate a new settlement is utterly futile – a valid and binding settlement already exists. Merrill Lynch is entitled to the benefit of the bargain reached on June 23, 2014.

⁶ Importantly, Merrill Lynch has no further interest in engaging in settlement discussions in this case and, unless ordered by the Court, will not agree to participate in any future settlement conference.

Accordingly, Merrill Lynch respectfully requests that the Court grant its *Motion* and enforce the June 23, 2014 settlement.

Dated: July 15, 2014 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Karen P. Gaster

Karen P. Gaster, Esquire (PA ID No. 90988) Michael P. Avila, Esquire (PA ID No. 311348) RUBIN, FORTUNATO & HARBISON P.C. 10 South Leopard Road, Paoli, PA 19301 Tel. (610) 408-2017/2035 Attorneys for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Karen P. Gaster, Esquire, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Reply Brief in Support of Its Motion to Enforce Settlement was served via electronic mail and First Class Mail as follows:

> Raymond Zong 1355 Gwynedale Way Landsdale, PA 19446 csup2011@gmail.com

> > Pro Se Plaintiff

Dated: July 15, 2014	/s/ Karen P. Gaster
	Karen P. Gaster, Esq.

EXHIBIT "A"

EEOC FORM 131 (11/09)	U.S. Equal Employme	ent Opportun	ity Commission
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	PERSON FILING CHARGE
		-	
1		l	Raymond M. Zong
Advice ² Counsel			THIS PERSON (check one or both)
Agency Charges	DA CORDODATION		X Claims To Be Aggrieved
NG1-026-02-50	CA CORPORATION		[
900 West Trade S	treet, 2nd Floor		is Fliing on Behalf of Other(s)
Charlotte, NC 282			EEOC CHARGE NO.
ļ			530-2012-02503
:	NOTICE OF CHAR		
	•	d for edditional informat	•
- Control of the Cont	rge of employment discrimination has		our organization under:
X Title VII of the Civi	I Rights Act (Title VII) The Equ	Jel Pay Act (EPA)	The Americans with Dissbillities Act (ADA)
The Age Discrimin	alion in Employment Act (ADEA)	The Genetic In	formation Nondiscrimination Act (GINA)
The boxes checked below	apply to our handling of this charge:		
1. No action is required	by you at this ilme.		
2, Please call the EEO	Representative listed below concerning	the further handling of t	his charge,
documentation to the	5-FEB-13 e statement of your position of EEOC Representative listed below. You of this request will make it easier to conclu	ir response will be place	y this charge, with copies of any supporting ed in the file and considered as we investigate the charge.
4. X Please respond fully below. Your response easier to conclude of	se will be placed in the file and considered	for information and ser i as we investigate the (id your response to the EEOC Representative listed charge. A prompt response to this request will make it
expenditure of rescu to Stephanie	on program that gives parties an opportunces, if you would like to participate, plea Martino, ADR Coordinator, at a to try Mediation, you must respond to any	se say so on the enclos (215) 440-2819	
1	natter, please use the charge number sho		on statement, your response to our request for information,
or any indens you may nev	a duong da gueciso io:		
	nces Watson, Isory Investigator		hia District Office
		801 Marke	
£ 550	00 Representative	Suite 130	and the same of th
Telephone (21	15) 440-2649		hia, PA 19107 440-2604
Enclosure(s); Cop	by of Charge		
CIRCUMSTANCES OF ALLE	SED DISCRIMINATION	 	
Race Color	Sex Religion National Origin	Age Disc	thillity X Retallation Genetic information Other
See enclosed copy of	of charge of discrimination.		
Bala	1 mm 1 m		1. Colores de constitución de la
Date	Name / Title of Authorized Official		Signature
	Spencer H. Lewis, Jr.,		1 1 7
January 24, 2013	District Director		

SEOC Form 5 (11/09)					
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge	Presented To:	Agency(les) Charge No(s):		
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act Stelament and other Information before completing this form.	FEPA				
Comments and name and manual construction and contractions	X	EEOC	530-2012-02503		
Pennsylvania Human Re	elations Com	mission	and EEOC		
State or local Ag-	 				
			Phone (Incl. Area Code) Date of Birth		
Mr. Raymond M. Zong	······································	(215) 285-000	3 11-04-1965		
ļ	e and ZIP Code				
1355 Gwynedale Way, Lansdale, PA 19448					
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprentices Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULAR	ip Committee, or a S below,)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
MERRILL LYNCH		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)		
		500 or More	<u> </u>		
8380 Old York Road, Suite 400, Elkins Park, PA 19027	a and ZIP Code				
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(ss).)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATER) DISCE	NIMINATION TOOK PLACE		
	-	Earlisst			
RACE COLOR SEX RELIGION	NATIONAL ORIG	in 05-18-20	05-18-2012		
	ENETIC INFORMATI	ON			
OTHER (Specify) THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):		'	CONTINUING ACTION		
I was hired by Merrill Lynch (d/b/a Bank of America) (hereinafter "Respondent") on or about August 18, 2006, as a Financial Advisor. I was later promoted to the position of Senior Financial Advisor. I was later awarded the International Financial Advisor title after successful completion of Respondent's comprehensive requirements. On or about February 15, 2012, I filled a charge of discrimination (Charge # 530-2012-01276) alleging discrimination based on my race (Aslan) and national origin (Chinese). After filling this charge I believe Respondent's treatment toward me worsened. By way of example, my repeated requests for transfer out of the Philadelphia complex were denied. In or about March 2012, I was finally moved to another branch; yet it is my belief that Respondents were retaliating against me for having filled the aforementioned charge. I was moved into an office where my computer and person were directly under a camera and the office was filthy. I had to ask several times if I could be moved as fellow associates were not placed under such scrutiny.					
In or about March 2012, I was told by Scott Blanche, Branch Manager, to meet with Bob Bowman, Philadelphia Complex Director, on or about April 2, 2012. On or about April 2, 2012, I met with Mr. Bowman; during this meeting Drew Hoffman, Senior Vice President of Human Resources, was also in attendance. Mr. Blanche failed to advise me that Mr. Hoffman would be in attendance. In this meeting I was told by both Mr. Hoffman and Mr. Bowman that I should seek employment outside of Bank of America. I was not provided a reason by Respondent for this statement. I asked both Mr. Bowman and Mr. Hoffman what will happen to my previously filed charge to which they both denied having knowledge that I filed. I believe I had no choice but to resign and on or about May 18, 2012, I presented Respondent with my formal resignation, it should be noted that In my resignation I addressed the handling of my retirement (deferred compensation).					
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.		necessary for State and Loc			
the best of my k		rowledge, information an			
SIGNATURE		OMPLAINANT	A A.		
			7 5 5		
Jan 17, 2013 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (month, day, year)			THIS DATE		
Date Churging Party Signature					

EEOC Form 5 (11/09)				
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge Presented To: Agency(les) Charge No(s):			
This form is affected by the Privecy Act of 1974. See englosed Privecy Act	FEPA			
Statement and other information before completing this form.	X EEOC 530-2012-02503			
Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission and EEC				
Sinte or local Ages				
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, eltoch extra sheet(s)):	ome funds disappeared from my account statement. I told that a check was cut for me; to date this has not apresentative that she would investigate and get lived word on the status of funds owed to me.			
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.	NOTARY – When necassary for State and Local Agency Requirements C.			
I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.	I swear or effirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT			
Jan 17, 2013	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (month, day, year)			
Date Charging Party Signature				
	,			

EEOC FORM 131 (11/05)	U.S. Equal Employme	nt Opportuni	lty Commission
-			PERSON FILING CHARGE
Bank of America	Corporation	l	
	- Agency Charges		Raymond M. Zong
NC1-028-02-50	- rigottoy officinges		THIS PERSON (check one or both)
900 W. Trade Str	eet. 2nd Floor		X Claims To Be Aggrieved
Charlotte, NC 28:			<u> </u>
1			Is Filing on Behalf of Other(s)
<u> </u>		. ! !	EEOC CHARGE NO.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			530-2012-01276
	NOTICE OF CHARG	E OF DISCRIM	INATION
This is entire that			•
	arge of employment discrimination has b	een filed against yo	our organization under:
X Tille VII of the CIV	/Il Rights Act (Title VII) The Equal	Pay Act (EPA)	The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)
The Age Discrimin	nstion in Employment Act (ADEA)	The Genetic Inf	formation Nondiscrimination Act (GINA)
The boxes checked below	apply to our handling of this charge;		
	d by you at this time.		
2. Please call the EEO	C Representative listed below concerning the	s further handling of th	nis cherge.
documentation to the	f-MAY-12 a statement of your position on a EEOC Representative listed below. Your re to this request will make it easier to conclude	ezoonse will he niece:	y this charge, with copies of any supporting d in the file and considered as we investigate the charge.
4. X Please respond fully	y by 0∦-MAY-12 to the enclosed request to se will be placed in the file and considered as	ır istormation and sen	id your response to the EEOC Representative listed harge. A prompt response to this request will make it
expenditure of resou to Stephanic	lon program that gives parties an opportunity roes. If you would like to participate, please a Marino, ADR Coordinator, at (2' to try Mediation, you must respond to any re	eay so on the enclose 15) 440-2819	
	matter, please use the charge number shown		n statement, your response to our request for information,
	Kurt Jung.		
	visory investigator		ia District Office
	OC Representative	801 Market Suite 1300	
	•		
Telephone (21	15) 440-2617	Fax: (215)	ila, PA 19107 440-2604
Enclosure(s): Co	py of Charge		
CIRCUMSTANCES OF ALLE	GED DISCRIMINATION	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
X Race Color	Gex Religion X Netional Origin	Age Disab	olilly X Rotellosion Genetic Information Oliver
See enclosed convidence	of charge of discrimination.		· · ·
	,, _,,_, g _		
			ŀ
Date	Name / Title of Authorized Official		Signature
	Carrell Lands to		
April 4. 2012	Spencer H. Lewis, Jr.,		AN

Revised 11/88		石 空音
Information Pennsylv	For Complainants & Election Option To Dual File With The ania Human Relations Commission	CADELPHI
KAYMOND ZONG EEOC NO. 530-2012-013	vs MERRIL LYNCH	A.D.G.
the PHRC protects your state rights	ge of discrimination with the Pennsylvania Hum Pennsylvania Human Relations Act. Filing you s, especially since there may be circumstances in Ty in manner which could affect the outcome of	charge with

Complaints filed with PHRC must be filed within 180 days of the act(s) which you believe are unlawful discrimination. If PHRC determines that your PHRC complaint is unlimely, it will be dismissed.

If you want your charged files with PHRC, including this form as part of your EEOC charge, with your signature under the verification below, will constitute filing with PHRC. You have chosen EEOC to investigate your complaint, so PHRC will not investigate it and, in most cases, will accept EEOC's finding. If you disagree with PHRC's adoption of EEOC's finding, you will have the chance to file a request for preliminary hearing with PHRC

Since you have chosen to file you charge first with EEOC, making it the primary investigatory agency, the Respondent will not be required to file an answer with PHRC, and no other action with PHRC is required by either party, unless/until otherwise notified by PHRC.

If your case is still pending with PHRC after one year of filing with PHRC, you have the right to file your complaint in state court. PHRC will inform you of these rights and obligations at that time.

[Sign and date appropriate request below]

I want my charge filed with PHRC. I hereby incorporate this form and the verification below into the attached EEOC complaint form and file it as my PHRC complaint. I request EEOC to transmit if to PHRC.

I understand that false statements in this complaint are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. §4909, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Signature and Date

I do not want my charge dual filed with PHRC.

Signature and Date

EEOC Form 5 (11/09)					
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge	Presented To:	Agency(k	es) Charge No(s):	
This form is offcolod by the Privacy Act of 1974. See anciosed Privacy Act Statument and other information before complaining title form.		FEPA		,	
designation and another adding completing fine idials	X	EEOC	530-	2012-01276	
Pennsylvania Human Re	lations Com	mission	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and EEOC	
Namo (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)	ncy, if any	Home Phone (Incl. Area			
Mr. Raymond M. Zong	No. 19			Date of Birth	
			14	11-04-1965	
1355 Gwnedale Way, Lansdale, PA 19446	and ZIP Gode				
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticesh Discriminated Ageinst Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS	lp Committee, or S 5 below.)	liale or Local Governme	nt Agency	That I Believe	
Name	1.474	No. Employees, Members	Phone N	lo, (include Amo Code)	
MERRILL LYNCH		500 or More	(48	4) 530-5300	
	and ZIP Code				
470 Norristown Road Suite 101, Blue Bell, PA 19422					
N	····				
Neme		No. Employees, Members	Phone N	lo. (include Area Code)	
Street Address City State	and ZIP Code		j		
					
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)		DATE(S) DISCR	MINATION	TOOK PLACE	
X RACE COLOR SEX RELIGION X	NATIONAL ORIGI	Esriest N 05-05-20	Estrict Latect 05-05-2011 01-25-20		
	i Netic informatic		• • •	01.50.5015	
OTHER (Specify)			CONTINUIN	IG ACTION	
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra ahoci(s)):	<u> </u>		<u>.</u>		
 I was hired by Respondent as a Financial Advisor in Aug International Financial Advisor. My national origin is Chir is Asian. I am the only Asian international Financial Advi 	nese – mv nativa	lancuage la Mandari	ent positi n Chines	ion is e, and my race	
treated in a discriminatory and adverse manner. On or a	bout May 5, 201	 I received an email 	l from ⊟lî:	zohath Whittebar	
("Whittaker")(White, American), Complex Compliance Ma clients are Chinase-speaking. As I know there is a Finan	inecer, statino "I	irms amalls musi ha	In Englis	sh* monet of more	
(0 Gli Financial Advisors who speak languages other than	: English, A cop	v of colicy was not pro	ovided er	of on others	
were notined of this fule. On or about May 11, 2011, while	le i was attendin	o e telephone confere	ance in M	landarin with a	
Chinese business prospect, a co-worker, "Tina" (White, A said "Don't speak Chinese". This incident was reported to	o Ted Durkio AM	hite American) Man-	bolina Die	anter and Caul	
wullen (vvnite, American), Resident Director. This incide	nt was denied b	v Tina to Human Reso	Surces /	Chinaca client	
Who I have had a business relationship with for approxim	ately ten years,	was removed from my	y account	ts and	
reassigned to another (non-Chinese/non Asian) FA. The reason I was given, by Paul Mullen was that this account needed to be under "institutional accounts". Institutional accounts are for clients with \$10M and more. This client was					
less than \$10M. Because this was one of my largest clie commission.	nts, the loss of th	e account had an adv	verse affe	ect on my overall	
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Approx. If any	NOTARY - When I	nacessary for State and Loc	al Agency F	equirements1	
will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.					
I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.	the best of my kr	that I have read the about the about the about the second the seco	ve charge of belief.	Med games (C.)	
	SIGNATURE OF C	OMPLAINANT	:	PHA.	
	ÉHORAGISTS 414	S BIANDAI MA ACCOME	******	T C ::	
Feb 15, 2012	SUBSCRIBED AND (month, day, year)) SWORN TO BEFORE ME	THIS DAT	5 8 B	
Dete Charging Perty Signature			•	~	

C	HARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Ch	ខព្ធខ	Presented To:	Agency(les) Charge No(s):	
This form is allected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act Sistement and other information before completing this form.		-		FEPA		
CIEN	accent and seles mightigued delote completing kills lotti,			EEOC	530-2012-01276	
Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission					and EEOC	
	State or focal Acent	icy, II any	~ ~ 1111	osieli	and EEOC	
11. SI. for of	HE PARTICULARS ARE (If odditional paper is needed, ellech exira sheet(s)):					
W 7	elieve I have been discriminated against because of me the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII), as amended. It delived adverse treatment in the terms and conditions of the terms and conditions of the terms and conditions of the terms.	Deneval	771/6 /	land tenatak in N	Chinese), in violation of Title VII iscriminatory manner, and have	
					PHILADELPHIA.D.D. 12 FEB 14 MMI: 57	
MIN NOAMO FUG TOPUCIS		NOTARY -	When n	sucusuary for Stele at	nd Level Agency Requirements	
coperate fully with the	am in the processing of my charge in eccordance with their					
declare under pena	A as bashard man upo and is and sub collect.	ine past of	my kn	(hat I have read thi lowladge, informati OMPLAINANT	e above charge and that it is true to on and belief.	
Feb 15, 2012		SUBSCRIB((month, day,	D AND	SWORN TO BEFOR	RE ME THIS DATE	
Date	Cherging Party Signature	Commercially May				